

As a consequence of an alarm of fire raised shortly after four o'clock the Fire Brigade turned out this morning only to find that some shavings and sawdust in a boxmaker's shop at 160 Queen's Road, Central had caught fire through the carelessness of an apprentice in the shop in throwing away the end of a cigarette. There had been plenty of smoke, but very little fire, and the occupant of the shop, with the assistance of Constable McMillan, had no difficulty in putting out the fire before it got to a substantial hold of the building.

Last night a very enjoyable tea meeting was held at Wanchai in connection with the Government School had been granted for the occasion, and its holding capacity was tested to the uttermost. The Rev. Mr. Musson, pastor of the Chapel, presided, and there were also on the platform Lieut. Colonel Robinson, Lieut. Shropshire Light Infantry, and Rev. G. H. Bondfield. Although the meeting was composed chiefly of soldiers and blue-jackets the civil community was also represented. Tea was served in two rooms, after which a long programme of songs, recitations and instrumental music was gone through in a thoroughly satisfactory manner. The Chairman's speech was a short one. He took the opportunity of intimating their obligations to Commander Rogers, of H. M. S. Archer, for the flag with which the School-room was tastefully decorated. Colonel Robinson also made a short speech full of practical value to the soldier and sailor, and his incisive remarks were more than once most heartily cheered. He said the facilities afforded for outdoor recreation for the members of the army and navy at Happy Valley formed a perfect boon, and the only pity was that the Valley was so far distant from the ships and the Barracks. It would be much more satisfactory if the men had a cricket ground close at hand to which they could resort in any leisure moments and enjoy a healthy game. One thing that was wanted in Hongkong, looked at from the point of view of the soldier and sailor, was a large and attractive Social Hall to which they could resort in the evening. The Rev. G. H. Bondfield delivered a racy address, which kept his hearers in the best of humour from beginning to end. He did not miss the opportunity of letting fall weighty words of counsel and sound advice. The usual notes of thanks brought the meeting to a close.

AMONGST the calls to the Bar on 17th Nov. were Mr. Richard Munn, of the Inner Temple, and Mr. Thomas Stubbins Smith, B.A., London University, Assistant Registrar-General, Hongkong Civil Service.

An old bachelor, who has just died in Paris, had hit upon an idea for getting proper affection from his servants, which was evidently successful, for he departed this life at the ripe age of eighty-seven. Twelve years ago he made his will, and conferred his provisions to his two maid servants. They were to the effect that each servant should have an annuity of 25l. a year, which was to be continued to the date of making the will. It is said that the devotion with which the old gentleman was served was touching in the extreme.

THE *Singapore Free Press* of the 19th inst. says: "A large shark, 14 feet long, was captured on Saturday afternoon in the course of fishing operations engaged in from H. M. S. Archer. The shark was about half and was out open, the stomach containing a number of large bones. Another shark, even bigger, was hooked the previous evening but the hook, unable to bear the weight, strained and the monster was being hauled in-board. The shark dropped into the sea again and disappeared. We are reminded that a number of years ago a shark was caught by the crew of H. M. S. Pearl, and in the stomach was found a small glaucous wheel."

SOME acrimonious has been caused, says the *Journal de l'Inde*, as the command of Rear-Admiral Duperon to the command of the naval division in the Far East, to replace Rear-Admiral Humeau, whose term expires on Feb. 3 next. The usual commission for a Rear-Admiral is two years, but Rear-Admiral Duperon having filled for a year the important post of chief staff officer to Admiral Ricœur in the Mediterranean Fleet, has consequently only served another year as sea to fulfil the conditions necessary in time of peace to qualify as a vice-admiral. The action taken by the Minister of Marine is one of those which cannot be approved. It is, in fact, very regrettable that such frequent changes should take place in the command of our naval division in the Far East. The field of action of this maritime force is very vast. Charged to protect our interests in the North and South China seas, with the mobilization of the reserves which we maintain in Cochin China and with the frequent changes and continuous appointments, two years for this division are considered a minimum, and now we are abandoning this excellent tradition.

Dr. Hans Blum, in his new book, "The German Empire in Prussia," gives a piquant version of the cause of quarrel between the Emperor William and Bismarck. Immediately after hearing of Prince Bismarck's interview with Dr. Windthorst, the famous leader of the Centre Party in the Reichstag, the Emperor sent Prince Bismarck to the Chancellor with the following message: "The Emperor requires that Bismarck should not receive members of the Reichstag without previous reporting to his Majesty." To this Prince Bismarck replied, "Please tell the Emperor that I allow no one to have any control over that in my drawing room." The next morning, Dr. Windthorst, who had every right to know of the Chancellor's negotiations with Prince Bismarck, was informed, however, and repeated his observations of the previous night. The Emperor, in reply, said, "Not even when I command you to do it as my sovereign?" To this Prince Bismarck replied, "My master's authority ends at my wiles drawing room."—*Daily News*.

SUPREME COURT.

COLONIAL COURT OF VICE-ADMIRALTY.

Before His Honor E. J. Ashurst, with Captain Hastings, R.N. retired, as Naval Assessor.

Thursday, December 23.

THE CLAIM FOR SALVAGE.

The hearing of evidence in the suit between the owners of the Norwegian steamer *St. Olaf*, and the French steamer *Reine Marie* for \$14,000, salvage money and expenses, was continued.

Mr. J. Francis, Q.C., appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. A. J. Leach, Q.C., for the defendant.

The evidence of the captain and officers of the *Krim*, which had been taken at the trial, was read.

Mr. Francis said that the only other evidence to be called on the plaintiff's side was that of Captain Burnie, who had been sent for but was not in the court.

Mr. Leach said he was quite willing to allow Captain Burnie's evidence to be taken at a later stage, after the case for the defendant had been closed.

His Lordship—If justice that witnesses are treated with very great indulgence here—allowed to go and come when they please.

Mr. Francis said that the peculiar circumstances of this community necessitated greater latitude than in other places.

Mr. Leach addressed the Court briefly, stating that the defence was not a denial of any liability at all, but simply that the plaintiff's claim should be set down to the defendant's.

His Lordship—The *Krim* certainly did make efforts to assist, but failed altogether to do any good; she moved the strangled vessel sideways a little, but when the *Reine Marie* was in danger, she was in danger.

Further, the defendant's liability for the time that the plaintiff's vessel was detained, and for expenses resulting therefrom. Defendants also asserted that there was never any need for the *Krim* to be in the vicinity of the *Reine Marie*.

His Lordship—What have you to say to that, Mr. Francis?

Mr. Francis—What Captain Burnie is in the witness-box he must answer any question put to him.

His Lordship—Yes; the witness has no privilege, and cannot refuse to answer. Witness—I am entitled to fees for giving expert evidence, I need not give it.

His Lordship—You must—you are sworn.

Witness—No, I have not been sworn yet.

His Lordship—You were sworn yesterday as an ordinary witness, and your oath was "touching the matter in question," covering the whole case, not the one day only.

Mr. Francis—A witness may abstain from attending, but once he has attended and taken the oath he must tell all he knows in reply to questions.

THE STRENGTH OF THE NAVY.

The Central News states that, although the Naval Defence Act has practically expired, and the Hamilton programme is exhausted, the financial part of the scheme will run for another two years. The operation of the Act was for five years, but the payment under will be applied over seven years. The same news agency states that it is informed that the Government have a naval building programme of their own, which will be the present form of Admiralty in the future.

Speaking at a dinner of the *Suffragette* Company, Mr. E. Robertson, Civil Lord of the Admiralty, deprecated the Navy being treated as a party question, and deplored the idea of deprecating the naval efficiency. He hoped that our Continental neighbours would not regard as serious the statements which were made from time to time with regard to the British Navy, which he believed to be fully up to the standard, and asserted, on behalf of the Government, that they meant to maintain the naval supremacy, which formed the basis of England's greatness.

The smallest navy in the world? Which Power has got that? Is it Portugal, or Belgium, or Greece? In one sense it is Great Britain. In proportion to her maritime responsibilities there is hardly a State which has a weaker navy. It is true our ships of war are numerous, but they bear no proportion to the relative number of our merchant ships. Some diagrams and figures published by the *Daily Graphic* bring out the point clearly. Our contemporary fleet of 12,000,000 tons, our expenditure on the Navy is only about eighteen millions and a half sterling; while France and Russia, with merchant fleets valued at over six hundred and three millions respectively, spend over six hundred millions on their navies. At the present moment, too, we are building five battleships, which will have a tonnage of about 10,000 tons; France and Russia are building sixteen of these vessels, with a total tonnage more than double as great.

Sir Thomas Sutherland, M.P., chairman of the P. and O. Company, has been interviewed. In the course of his remarks he said: "In the course of the war, we have seen the Mediterranean, if we are to judge by the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route, the fact that we should still have the *Capo* route, but the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then be in this position, that while the *Capo* route is almost double the length of the Mediterranean route, and that alone is a large disadvantage. For more important, however, is the fact that the loss of the *Capo* route would mean the loss of the *Capo* route. We should then

Merchant Vessels in Hongkong Harbour.

Exclusive of late Arrivals and Departures reported to-day.

To facilitate finding the position of any vessel in the Harbour, the Anchorage is divided into eleven Sections, commencing at Green Island. Vessels near the Hongkong shore are marked *h*, near the Kowloon shore *k*, and those in the body of the Shipping or midway between each shore are marked *c*, in conjunction with the figures denoting the sections.

Vessel's Name.	Agent.	Origin.	Flag and Rig.	Com.	Date of Arrival.	Commissioners or Agents.	Destination.	Remarks.
SEABOARD								

Saniam	5	Borgh.	Dutch	stev.	1457	July	19	Laula & Wegman	San Francisco,	3rd prox.
Belgie	5	Walher	Brit.	stev.	2695	Deco.	20	O. & S. S. Co.	Kobe	To-morrow
Catherine Apar	5	Olijant	Brit.	stev.	1737	Deco.	23	David Sassoon, Sons & Co.	Singapore	To-day
Chelydra	5	Claiss	Brit.	stev.	1674	Deco.	24	Jardine, Matheson & Co.	Nippon	
Chelydra	5	Stall.	Brit.	stev.	1121	Deco.	25	M. S. S. Co.	Shanghai	
Chow Fa	3	Phillips	Brit.	stev.	1057	Deco.	27	Yuen Fat Hong		
Centorion	3	h.Denis	Ger.	stev.	1107	Deco.	28	Siemssen & Co.		
Cuthrie	5	Raines	Brit.	stev.	1494	Deco.	31	Gibb, Livingston & Co.		
Dalloung	5	Roach	Brit.	stev.	793	Deco.	31	Douglas Steamship Co.		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

epaph	3	c	Quail	Brit.	str.	1848	Dec.	7	Butterfield & Swire	Samarang	To-day
ney	3	c	Surponey	Brit.	str.	1815	Dec.	28	Chinese		
ney	3	c	Keloug	Brit.	str.	307	Dec.	23	Butterfield & Swire		
Kiel	3	c	Krugfeld	Ge.	str.	831	Dec.	23	Malchra & Co.	Sratow, & Bangkok	To-morrow
Kong Beng	3	c	Jackon	Brit.	str.	892	Dec.	23	Yuen Fat Hong		To-morrow
Mandaria	3	c	Raison	Brit.	str.	1170	Dec.	23	Gibb, Livingston & Co.	Saigon	To-morrow
Melbourne	3	c	Vimont	Fra.	str.	1747	Dec.	27	Messageries Maritimes	Shanghai	To-day
Mogul	3	c	Golding	Brit.	str.	857	Dec.	23	Dod well, Gardil & Co.	Victoria, B.C.	2nd pr.
Samon	3	c	Harris	Brit.	str.	283	Dec.	23	Douglas Steamship Co.		K'loon Dock
Satin	3	c	Bayard	Brit.	str.	118	Dec.	27	Chinese		
Sing Foa	1	c	Stapani	Brit.	tug.	161			K. & W. Dock Co.		
Sollux	3	c	Geflon	Ger.	str.	893	Nov.	23	Malchra & Co.		
Soprotia	3	c	Fernad	Brit.	str.	1549	Dec.	23	Heung Sing & Co.		
Siversdale	3	c	Post	Brit.	str.	1311	Dec.	23	Jardine, Matheson & Co.	Hongay	
Siam	3	c	Measer	Brit.	str.	991	Dec.	23	Chinese		
Sungkiang	3	c	Dodd	Brit.	str.	894	Dec.	23	Butterfield & Swire	Amoy	To-day
Sungshien	3	c	Dodd	Brit.	str.	819	Dec.	27	Douglas Steamship Co.	Taiwanoo	To-morrow
Sutro	3	c	Denair	Ger.	str.	1085	Dec.	23	Edmands & Co.		
Triumph	3	c	Peterson	Ger.	str.	674	Dec.	27	Edward Schuchass & Co.	Holbow	To-morrow

Sailing Vessels.				
Alfred Hawley	3	c	Livorno	Brit. bge. 412 Nov. 15 Order
Bangalore	3	c	Condon	Brit. sh. 1700 Dec. 18 Sheena & Co.
Basuto	5	H	Eggers	Ger. bge. 348 Oct. 14 Order
Berlin	2	H	Whitmore	Amer. sh. 1552 Nov. 21 Captain
Bama Jackson	6	c	Batchard	Brit. sch. 337 Dec. 4 Gibb, Livingston & Co.
Bama Rath	6	c	Lawrens	Ger. bge. 652 Dec. 27 Wieler & Co.
Robertus	6	c	Lawrens	Ger. bge. 652 Dec. 27 Wieler & Co.

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c	Livorn	Brit.	bge.	412	Nov. 15	Order	
Bangalore	3	c	Condon	Brit.	sh.	1709	Dec. 5	Shewan & Co.	
Banyo	3	c	Eggers	Ger.	bge.	348	Oct. 14	Order	
Berlin	2	c	Walmers	Amer.	sh.	1553	Nov. 11	Carpenter	
Blair Jackson	6	c	Barkhart	Brit.	sch.	332	Dec. 2	Gibb, Livingston & Co.	
Clomac/rathRobertus	2	c	Lawrence	Ger.	bge.	597	Dec. 27	Wielar & Co.	
Dona Bartola	7	c	Bieckert	Amer.	sch.	62	Dec. 17	Siemason & Co.	
Elizabeth Ahrens	8	c	Alve	Ger.	bge.	400	Oct. 3	Master	
Kley	6	c	Wilson	Brit.	bge.	803	Dec. 1	Master	
Kotair	6	c	Cafraaga	Italian	bge.	794	Nov. 30	D. Musso	
Kumulu	3	c	Guthrie	Belg.	sh.	1450	Dec. 1	Governent	
Martha Beckman	3	c	Meincke	Ger.	bge.	726	Oct. 1	Master	
Mary Blair	3	c	Askin	Brit.	bge.	328	Dec. 19	Order	
Nam Shun Sing	9	c	Lok Li Tong	Chin.	sh.	593	Aug. 3	Yong Koo & Co.	
Naku Maru	8	c	Siemason	Japan	bge.	528	Dec. 1	Hughes & Hough	
Ym. J. Roto	2	c	Lanoster	Amer.	sh.	1664	Nov. 24	Melchers & Co.	

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c	Liowya	Brit.	bge.	412	Nov.	15	Order
Bangalore	8	c	Ongoda	Brit.	sh.	1700	Dec.	16	Shewan & Co.
Basato	5	k	Eggers	Ger.	bge.	348	Oct.	14	Order
Berlin	3	k	Whitmore	Amer.	sh.	1552	Nov.	21	Captain
Blara Jackson	6	c	Batchart	Brit.	sch.	32	Dec.	4	Gibb, Livingston & Co.
ClomacathRodbertus	8	c	Lowrens	Ger.	bge.	587	Dec.	27	Wieler & Co.
Dona Bartolm	7	c	Hedert	Amer.	sch.	62	Dec.	17	Siemssen & Co.
Elizabeth Abrams	5	c	Wilson	Ger.	bge.	400	Oct.	1	Master
Elity	5	c	Wilson	Brit.	bge.	803	Dec.	2	Master
Esthair	5	c	Cafuraga	Italian	bge.	794	Nov.	30	D. Musso
Estmillau	8	c	Gutirie	Brit.	sh.	1450	Dec.	1	Government
Fartha Bookhaln	1		Meincke	Ger.	bge.	728	Oct.	13	Master
Ferry Blair	3	c	Askia	Brit.	bge.	326	Dec.	19	Order
Henry Shun Sing	9	c	Loi Li Tung	Chi-nese.	sch.	388	Aug.	17	Yong Kae & Co.
Isaiu Maru	1	k	Siemssen	Japan.	bge.	524	Dec.	1	Hughes & Haugh
Ym. J. Roth	2	k	Lanaster	Amer.	sh.	1664	Nov.	21	Melchers & C.

K'loon Dock

Her Britannic Majesty's Ships on the China Station.

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c	Livorn	Brit.	bgo.	412	Nov. 15	Order	
Singapore	3	c	London	Brit.	sh.	1700	Dec. 5	Shewan & Co.	
Master	5	b	Essex	Ger.	bgo.	348	Oct. 31	Order	
Merlin	2	H	Whitby	Amer.	sh.	1652	Nov. 22	Captain	
Mara Jackson	6	c	Batclart	Brit.	sch.	32	Dec. 4	Gibb, Livingston & Co.	
Thomas Rath Rodbertus	8	c	Lowrens	Ger.	bgo.	597	Dec. 27	Wieler & Co.	
Dona Bartola	7	c	Blecher	Amer.	sch.	62	Dec. 17	Siemssen & Co.	
Elizabeth Ahrens	4	H	Alve	Ger.	bgo.	400	Oct. 3	Master	
City	5	c	Wilson	Brit.	bgo.	303	Dec. 1	Master	
Chair	5	c	Wang	Chinese	bgo.	79	Nov. 30	Musso	
Camilla	8	c	Gutliche	Brit.	sh.	1450	Dec. 1	Government	
Martha Rootkahn	3	c	Meincke	Ger.	bgo.	726	Oct. 11	Master	
Mary Blair	3	c	Askin	Brit.	bgo.	328	Dec. 19	Order	
Sam Shun Sing	9	c	Lok Li Tong	Chi-n-se.	388	Aug. 13	Yong Kae & Co.		
Shen Maru	8	b	Simonsen	Japan.	bgo.	524	Dec. 14	Hughes & Hough	
Wm. J. Rotch	2	L	Lancaster	Amer.	sh.	1694	Nov. 21	Melchers & Co.	

..... K'loon Dock

Her Britannic Majesty's Ships on the China Station.

Name.	Reg.	Tons.	Gun.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
despatch-vessel		1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
cruiser 3rd class		1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
crusier 3rd class		1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai

Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
despatch-vessel		1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
cruiser 3rd class		1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
cruiser 3rd class		1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai
cruiser		1140	—	—	Commander McArthur	Yokohama
surveying ship		749	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Tokyo
g-b. 3rd class coast defense		303	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
gunboat 2nd class		455	4	460	Ident.-Com. L. G. Tuffell	Shanghai
transvessel battle ship		7100	10	15000	Captain J. M. McQuibee	Hongkong
cruiser 2nd class		4300	10	10000	Captain Castle	Hongkong
gunboat 2nd class		716	—	—		

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c	Livorn	Brit.	bge.	412	Nov.	15	Order
Angalore	6	c	Condon	Brit.	sh.	1700	Dec.	3	Shewan & Co.
Asanoto	5	b	Eggers	Ger.	bge.	348	Oct.	14	Order
Berlin	2	k	Whitmore	Amer.	sh.	1552	Nov.	21	Captain
Blana Jackson	6	c	Batchart	Brit.	sch.	32	Dec.	4	Gibb, Livingston & Co.
Comme Path Roberts	8	b	Lawrens	Ger.	bge.	587	Dec.	27	Wielser & Co.
Cona Baretta	7	b	Buckert	Amer.	sch.	52	Oct.	17	Siemason & Co.
Elizabeth Ahrens	8	b	Alve	Ger.	bge.	400	Oct.	1	Master
City	5	c	Wilson	Brit.	bge.	803	Dec.	1	Master
Cochair	5	c	Cafraaga	Italian	bge.	794	Nov.	30	D. Musso
Cosmilia	6	c	Guttrieb	Brit.	sh.	1450	Dec.	3	Government
Fartha Hookah	8	b	Meincke	Ger.	bge.	728	Oct.	1	Master
Fary Blair	3	c	Aakin	Brit.	bge.	328	Nov.	19	Order
Fan Shun Sing	9	c	Lok Li Tong	Chi-3-m.	sc.	388	Aug.	3	Yong Kee & Co.
Faku Maru	8	b	Siemason	Japan	bge.	524	Dec.	1	Hughes & Co.
Ym. J. Rotch	3	b	Lanaster	Amer.	sh.	1694	Nov.	24	Melchers & Hugh.

Her Britannic Majesty's Ships on the China Station.									
Name.	Reg.	Tons.	Guns.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.			
despatch-vessel	1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong				
cruiser 3rd class	1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong				
cruiser 3rd class	1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai				
cruiser	1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama				
surveying ship	740	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore				
g-bt. 3rd class coast defence	383	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai				
gunboat 2nd class	455	4	460	Lieut.-Com. L. G. Tinnell	Hongkong				
twissen battle ship	8400	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuibee	Hongkong				
gun-vess 2nd class	4300	10	5500	Captain Castle	Bangkok				
cruiser 2nd class	738	5	1350	Commander Beacroft	Bangkok				
cruiser	3730	13	7250	Capt. Wilmet H. Paries	Yokohama				
cruiser	2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Hongkong				
gunboat 1st class	750	6	1200	Lieut.-Commander Laxton	Hongkong				
gunboat 1st class	735	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Bangkok				
gunboat 1st class	755	6	1200	Hon. Lt.-Com. F. B. Addington	Kobe				
gunboat 3rd class	1770	3	380	Commander Burr	Shanghai				
gunboat 1st class	715	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Cotsworth	Hongkong				
gunboat 1st class	805	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. C. G. May	On a cruise				

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c	London	Brit.	bge.	4102	Nov. 15	Order	
Bangalore	6	e	Clyon	Brit.	sh.	1700	Dec. 5	Shewan & Co.	
Benato	5	e	Eggers	Ger.	bge.	348	Oct. 14	Order	
Berlin	2	e	Whitmore	Amer.	sh.	1552	Nov. 21	Captain	
Bona Jackson	6	e	Butchart	Brit.	sch.	32	Dec. 4	Gibb, Livingston & Co.	
Commodore Rath Roberts	7	e	Lewrens	Ger.	bge.	557	Dec. 2	Wieler & Co.	
Dana Barto	7	e	Recher	Amer.	sch.	82	Oct. 11	Stearns & Co.	
Elizabeth Ahrens	8	e	Alve	Ger.	bge.	400	Oct. 5	Master	
Emily	6	e	Wilson	Brit.	bge.	803	Dec. 1	Master	
Estor	6	e	Cafraings	Italian	bge.	794	Nov. 30	D. Musso	
Florida	8	e	Cavalli	Brit.	sh.	1450	Dec. 1	Government	
Florida Rodolph	8	e	Mecke	Ger.	bge.	726	Oct. 11	Master	
Gary Blair	3	e	Askin	Brit.	bge.	328	Dec. 19	Order	
Ham Shun Sing	9	e	Lok Li Tong	Chi.	3-m. sc.	388	Aug. 3	Yong Kae & Co.	
Haku Maru	2	e	Simonsen	Japan.	bge.	524	Dec. 16	Hughes & Hough	
Wm. J. Roth	2	e	Limaester	Amer.	sh.	1694	Nov. 21	Melchers & Co.	

Her Britannic Majesty's Ships on the China Station.									
Name.	Reg.	Tons.	Gun.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.			
Lacerty	despatch-vessel	1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong			
roher	cruiser 3rd class	1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong			
caroline	cruiser 3rd class	1430	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai			
capitane	cruiser	1140	—	—	Commodore MacArthur	Yokohama			
goria	surveying ship	749	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Bangkok			
nk	g-b. 3rd class coast defence	339	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai			
frebrand	gunboat 2nd class	455	4	460	Lieut.-Com. L. G. Turrell	Shanghai			
aprebus*	twissow batle ship	8400	10	10060	Captain J. M. McQuhee	Hongkong			
ander	cruiser 2nd class	4350	10	5500	Captain Chasdo	Hongkong			
inot	gun-vessel 2nd class	775	6	1380	Commodore Bearcroft	Yokohama			
teroury	cruiser 2nd class	3739	13	7290	Capt. Wilmot H. Fawkes	Singapore			
allas	cruiser	2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Singapore			
macock	gunboat 1st class	750	6	1260	Lieut.-Commander Lexton	Bangkok			
igney	gunboat 1st class	755	6	1260	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Kobe			
rover	gunboat 1st class	755	6	1290	Hon. Lt.-Com. G. E. Addington	Kobe			
laid	cruiser 3rd class	1770	5	3695	Commander Burr	Shanghai			
satler	gunboat 1st class	715	6	1300	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Coteworth	Hongkong			
edpole	gunboat 1st class	805	8	1300	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	On a cruise			
over	cruiser 2nd class	4050	12	5090	Captain Henderson	Bangkok			
griff	gun-vessel 2nd class	755	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Hongkong			
wood	g-b. 3rd class coast defence	363	3	340	—	Hongkong			
Victor Emanuel	receiving ship	5157	14	—	Commodore Boys	Hongkong			
lvern	coast defence ship, armoured	2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong			

* Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. 8, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. E. Fremantle, K.C.B. O.M.G.

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c	Lochry	Brit.	bge.	4102	Nov. 15	Order	
Bangalore	6	c	Conjodon	Brit.	sh.	1700	Dec. 3	Shewan & Co.	
Bassato	5	e	Eggers	Ger.	bge.	348	Oct. 14	Order	
Berlin	2	e	Whitmore	Amer.	sh.	1552	Nov. 21	Captain	
Blam Jackson	6	c	Batchard	Brit.	sch.	32	Dec. 4	Gibb, Livingston & Co.	
Bonnie Rath Roberts	5	c	Lowrens	Ger.	bge.	587	Dec. 27	Wieler & Co.	
Bona Bartola	8	c	Biesher	Amer.	sch.	62	Dec. 17	Stimson & Co.	
Elizabeth Ahrens	6	e	Alve	Ger.	bge.	400	Oct. 1	Master	
Elm	5	c	Wilson	Brit.	bge.	803	Dec. 1	Master	
Estclair	5	c	Cafingra	Italian	bge.	794	Nov. 30	D. Musso	
Eschmilla	8	c	Guthrie	Brit.	sh.	1450	Dec. 9	Government	
Fathia Bookhahn	6	c	Melcke	Ger.	bge.	726	Oct. 11	Master	
Fary Bell	3	e	Akin	Brit.	bge.	138	Dec. 19	Order	
Fernand Shun Sing	5	c	Lok Li Tong	Chi-nu-se.	898	Aug. 3	Yang Kee & Co.		
Fuku Maru	8	c	Simammon	Japan	bge.	524	Dec. 1	Hughes & Hugh	
Gm. J. Rotch	2	e	Lambster	Amer.	sh.	1664	Nov. 24	Melchers & Co.	

Her Britannic Majesty's Ships on the China Station.

Name.	Reg.	Tons.	Gun.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
Alacrity	despatch-vessel	1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
Archer	cruiser 3rd class	1770	6	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
Caroline	cruiser 3rd class	1400	14	1440	Captain J. H. McQuhee	Shanghai
Cephus	cruiser	1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama
Cornelia	surveying ship	740	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore
Crested Auk	g-b. 3rd class coast defence	843	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
Diamond	gunboat 2nd class	435	4	460	Mast.-Com. L. G. Truettell	Shanghai
Dragon's Whiskers*	twincor hull ship	8440	10	10000	Captain J. H. McQuhee	Hongkong
Endeavour	cruiser 2nd class	4300	10	5500	Captain Chas. Corder	Bangkok
Ensign	gun-vessel 2nd class	755	5	1350	Commander Beatofoft	Yokohama
Essex	cruiser 2nd class	3730	13	7290	Capt. Wilmet H. Fawkes	Singapore
Florida	gunboat 1st class	2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Hongkong
Forster	gunboat 1st class	750	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Kobe
Galley	gunboat 1st class	755	6	1200	Hon. Lt.-Com. F. O. B. Addington	Shanghai
Geopline	cruiser 3rd class	1770	5	3695	Commander Burr	Hongkong
Golden Arrow	gunboat 1st class	715	6	1300	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Cotesworth	On a cruise
Grampus	gunboat 1st class	805	6	1300	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	Bangkok
Harriet	cruiser 2nd class	4050	12	5070	Captain Henderson	Hongkong
Hecla	gun-vessel 2nd class	755	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Hongkong
Hecla	g-b. 3rd class coast defence	363	3	340	—	Hongkong
Hereward	recd-vig ship	5157	14	—	Commodore Roys	Hongkong
His Majesty's Ship	coast defence ship, armoured	2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong

* Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. 8, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. F. Fremantle, K.C.B., O.M.G.

† H. B. M. Ship's tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to H. M. Navy List.

Foreign Men-of-war of the China and Japan Station.

Sailing Vessels.									
Alfred Hawley	3	c Liverpool	Brit.	bge.	412	Nov. 15	Order		
Bangalore	6	c Oonglo	Brit.	sh.	1700	Dec. 3	Shewan & Co.		
Benares	5	c Elgers	Ger.	bge.	348	Oct. 14	Order		
Berlin	2	c Whitmore	Amer.	sh.	1552	Nov. 21	Captain		
Blana Jackson	6	c Batchart	Brit.	sch.	337	Dec. 4	Gibb, Livingston & Co.		
Commodore Path Roberts	6	c Lowrens	Ger.	bge.	582	Dec. 27	Wielser & Co.		
Dona Bartola	7	c Bickert	Amer.	sch.	62	Dec. 17	Siemssen & Co.		
Elizabeth Ahrens	2	c Aive	Ger.	bge.	400	Oct. 1	Master		
Elcity	5	c Wilson	Brit.	bge.	803	Dec. 1	Master		
Elchair	6	c Cafraaga	Italian	bge.	794	Nov. 30	D. Musso		
Elcomilla	6	c Gathlin	Brit.	sh.	1450	Dec. 3	Government		
Elfaria	6	c Meiche	Ger.	bge.	726	Oct. 11	Master		
Elary Blair	3	c Akin	Brit.	bge.	328	Dec. 19	Order		
Elan Shun Sing	9	c Lok Li Tong	Chi.	3-m. sc.	388	Aug. 3	Yong Kee & Co.		
Elau Maru	2	c Lamsonson	Japan.	bge.	524	Dec. 16	Hughes & Hough		
Elm. J. Rotch	2	c Lamaster	Amer.	sh.	1694	Nov. 21	Melchers & Co.		

Her Britannic Majesty's Ships on the China Station.									
Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.			
despatch-vessel		1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong			
cruiser 3rd class		1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong			
cruiser 3rd class		1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai			
cruiser		1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama			
surveying ship		740	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore			
g-bt. 3rd class coast defence		383	3	340	It.-Com. Revenhill	Shanghai			
gunboat 2nd class		455	4	460	Lieut.-Com. L. G. Truett	Shanghai			
twinscrew battle ship		8400	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuhee	Hongkong			
gunboat 2nd class		4300	10	5500	Captain Castle	Hongkong			
gun-vessel 2nd class		755	5	1350	Commander Beatcroft	Bangkok			
cruiser 2nd class		3730	13	7200	Capt. Wilmet H. Parvise	Yokohama			
cruiser		2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Singapore			
gunboat 1st class		750	6	1200	Lieut.-Commander Leaton	Hongkong			
gunboat 1st class		735	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Bangkok			
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Hon. Lt.-Com. F. O. B. Addington	Kobe			
cruiser 3rd class		1170	5	3800	Commander Barr	Hongkong			
gunboat 1st class		715	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Cotterworth	Hongkong			
gunboat 1st class		805	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	On a cruise			
cruiser 2nd class		4050	12	6090	Captain Henderson	Bangkok			
gun-vessel 2nd class		755	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Hongkong			
g-bt. 3rd class coast defence		353	3	340		Bangkok			
roaming ship		5157	14	—	Commodore Boys	Hongkong			
coast defence ship, armoured		2750	4	1450		Hongkong			

Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. 8, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. F. Fremantle, K.C.B., O.M.G.

† H. B. M. Ships' tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to H. M. Navy List.

Foreign Men-of-war on the China and Japan Station.									
Name.	Flag and Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	H. P.	Captain.	Where at.			
Admiral Kornloff	Russian cruiser	5000	—	—	Captain Eltschansoff	Nagasaki			
Africa	Portuguese troopship	—	—	—	Captain Vianno	Macao			
Beaut.	Russian gunboat	800	—	—	Captain Parcuogo	Nagasaki			

Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
despatch-vessel		1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
crusier 3rd class		1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
crusier 3rd class		1450	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai
crusier		1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama
surveying ship		740	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore
g-b.t. 3rd class coast defence		303	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
gunboat 2nd class		455	4	460	Lieut.-Com. L. G. Truett	Shanghai
gunboat battle ship		9400	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuibee	Hongkong
crusier 2nd class		4590	10	5500	Captain Osado	Hongkong
gun-vees 2nd class		755	6	1950	Commander Bearcroft	Bangkok
crusier 2nd class		3730	13	7290	Capt. Wilmot H. Fawkes	Yokohama
crusier		2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Singapore
gunboat 1st class		750	6	1200	Lieut.-Commander Laxton	Hongkong
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Bangkok
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Hon. Lieut.-Com. G. B. Addington	Hongkong
crusier 3rd class		1770	5	3005	Commander Burr	Shanghai
gunboat 1st class		715	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Coteworth	Hongkong
gunboat 1st class		805	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	On cruise
crusier 2nd class		4050	12	6090	Captain Henderson	Bangkok
gun-vees 2nd class		755	6	1950	Commander Kirby	Hongkong
g-b.t. 3rd class coast defence		363	3	340	—	Hongkong
receiving ship		5157	14	—	Commodore Roys	Hongkong
coast defence ship, armoured		2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong

Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. 8, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. F. Fremantle, K.C.B., O.M.G.

† H. B. M. Ships' tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to H. M. Navy List.

Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
despatch-vessel		1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
cruiser 1st class		1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
cruiser 3rd class		1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai
cruiser		740	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama
surveying ship		1140	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore
g-b. 3d class coast defence		363	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
gunboat 2nd class		455	4	460	Captain T. G. Truett	Hongkong
twinscrew battle ship		8400	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuibee	Hongkong
cruiser 2nd class		4300	10	5500	Captain Oatley	Bangkok
gun-vessel 2nd class		735	5	1350	Commander Beacroft	Yokohama
cruiser 2nd class		3730	13	7200	Capt. Wilmet H. Fawkes	Singapore
cruiser		2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Hongkong
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Lieut.-Commander Linton	Bangkok
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Kobe
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Hon. Lt.-Com. F. C. B. Addington	Shanghai
cruiser 3rd class		1770	5	3500	Commander Burr	Hongkong
gunboat 1st class		715	6	1300	Lieut.-Com. High Colsworth	On a cruise
gunboat 1st class		805	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. C. G. May	Bangkok
cruiser 2nd class		4050	12	6090	Captain Henderson	Hongkong
gun-vessel 2nd class		755	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Hongkong
g-b. 3d class coast defence		363	3	340	—	Hongkong
recording ship		5157	14	—	Commodore Boys	Hongkong
coast-defence ship, armoured		2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong

Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. B, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. E. Fremantle, K.C.B., O.M.G.

† H. B. M. Ships' tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to H. M. Navy List.

Foreign Men-of-war on the China and Japan Station.

Name.	Flag and Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
Admiral Korniloff	Russian cruiser	5000	—	—	Captain Elitchanoff	Nagasaki
Leont	Portuguese torpedo ship	800	—	—	Captain Yverno	Macao
Leont	Russian gunboat	800	—	—	Captain Paragaya	Nagasaki
Leont	U. S. corvette	1020	8	—	Captain Durand	Shanghai
Leont	French gunboat	470	4	43	Commander Journef	Kinkiang
Leont	U. S. cruiser	4600	—	—	Captain W. R. Bridgman	Hongkong
Leont	Portuguese gunboat	402	—	—	Captain J. J. Morita	Macao
Leont	French gunboat	500	—	—	Capt. Dartigue du Fournet	Yokohama
Leont	American cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain Goodrich	Shanghai
Leont	Portuguese gunboat	705	—	—	Captain Gomes	Macao
Leont	French cruiser	2200	—	—	Captain Recloux	Yokohama
Leont	German gunboat	459	4	330	Captain Hondrich	Yokohama
Leont	French gunboat	800	—	—	Capt. Bory	Yokohama
Leont	Austrian cruiser	4500	—	—	Captain A. R. v. Becker	Singapore
Leont	Russian man-of-war	1200	—	—	Captain Ph. Filisoff	Vladivostok
Leont	U. S. cruiser	2120	—	—	Capt. McCormack	Yokohama
Leont	French gunboat	450	—	—	Lieutenant Papak	Yokohama
Leont	French gunboat	458	4	425	Captain N'any	Bangkok
Leont	U. S. corvette	1900	7	1170	Commander Gridley	Yokohama
Leont	Russian cruiser	1700	—	—	Commander V. Brandt	Hankow

Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	H.P.	Captain.	Where at.
Jacarty	despatch-vessel	1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
reher	cruiser 3rd class	1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
aroline	cruiser 3rd class	1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai
aphia	cruiser	1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama
goria	surveying ship	749	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore
nk	g-b. 1st class	363	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
rebrand	gunboat 2nd class	455	4	460	Lieut.-Com. L. G. Tufnell	Shanghai
apurtues*	twissowen battle ship	8400	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuibbe	Hongkong
ander	cruiser 2nd class	4500	10	5500	Captain Gault	Hongkong
ercury	gun-vessel 2nd class	758	5	1350	Commander Hestcroft	Bangkok
allas	cruiser 2nd class	3730	13	7290	Capt. Wilmot H. Farlow	Yokohama
ecacock	cruiser	2575	20	7500	Capt. Angus MacLeod	Singapore
ecacock	gunboat 1st class	750	6	1200	Lieut.-Commander Laxton	Hongkong
ligny	gunboat 1st class	758	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Bangkok
ower	gunboat 1st class	6	1200	6	Hon. Lt.-Com. E. G. B. Addington	Yokohama
porpoise	gunboat 3rd class	1770	5	3595	Commander Burr	Shanghai
atiller	gunboat 1st class	715	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Cotterworth	Hongkong
edpole	gunboat 1st class	805	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	On a cruise
verra	cruiser 2nd class	4050	12	6090	Captain Henderson	Bangkok
etty	g-b. 1st class	758	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Hongkong
wood	g-b. 3rd class	363	3	340	—	Hongkong
roving ship	coast-defence ship	5157	14	—	Commander Boys	Hongkong
ivern	coast-defence ship	2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong

Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. 8, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. E. Fremantle, K.C.B., O.M.G.

U. S. M. Ships' tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to U. S. Navy List.

Name.	Flag and Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	H.P.	Captain.	Where at.
Admiral Korniloff	Russian cruiser	5000	—	—	Captain Elitchanoff	Nagasaki
Admiral Korniloff	Portuguese troopship	800	—	—	Captain Verno	Singapore
Admiral Korniloff	Russian gunboat	1800	—	—	Captain Parauze	Nagasaki
Admiral Korniloff	U. S. corvette	1020	8	—	Captain Durand	Shanghai
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	470	4	43	Commander Journef	Kinkiang
Admiral Korniloff	U. S. cruiser	4900	—	—	Captain W. R. Bridgman	Hongkong
Admiral Korniloff	Portuguese gunboat	462	—	—	Captain J. J. Moritz	Macao
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	700	—	—	Capt. Derville du Fournet	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	American cruiser	1760	—	—	Captain Goodrich	Shanghai
Admiral Korniloff	Portuguese gunboat	708	—	—	Captain Gomes	Macao
Admiral Korniloff	French cruiser	2200	—	—	Captain Recloux	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	German gunboat	489	4	330	Captain Bandelin	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	800	—	—	Capt. Bory	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	Austrian cruiser	4500	—	—	Captain A. R. v. Becker	Singapore
Admiral Korniloff	Russian man-of-war	1200	—	—	Captain Ph. Filiaff	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	U. S. cruiser	2120	—	—	Capt. McCormack	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	460	—	—	Lieutenant Papay	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	465	4	42	Capt. Mary	Bangkok
Admiral Korniloff	U. S. corvette	1900	—	1170	Commander Gridley	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	Russian cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain V. Brandt	Bangkok
Admiral Korniloff	U. S. ship	1370	6	1470	Lieut.-Com. Emery	Shanghai
Admiral Korniloff	Russian cruiser	6500	—	—	Captain Bauer	Amoy
Admiral Korniloff	U. S. gunboat	894	—	—	Lt.-Com. J. H. Deighton	On a cruise
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	540	—	43	Lieut.-Commander Lapied	Shanghai
Admiral Korniloff	Spanish cruiser	3000	—	—	Captain Valera	Hongkong
Admiral Korniloff	French cruiser	4500	—	—	Capt. Borol de Brétil	Yokohama
Admiral Korniloff	Spanish cruiser	1130	6	1600	Captain Diaz	Shanghai
Admiral Korniloff	Spanish gunboat	1000	—	—	Captain Fernandez	K'loon Dock
Admiral Korniloff	French cruiser	2400	15	500	Captain Thonnet	Shanghai
Admiral Korniloff	French gunboat	480	4	42	Commander Constella	Salgun
Admiral Korniloff	Russian cruiser	2560	—	—	Captain Zetsev	Nagasaki

Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Gun.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
despatch-vessel		1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
cruiser 3rd class		1370	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
cruiser 3rd class		1400	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai
cruiser		1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama
surveying ship		740	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore
g-b-t. 3rd class coast defence		343	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
gunboat 2nd class		453	4	460	Lt.-Com. L. G. Truineil	Shanghai
whitcomb battle ship		8400	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuib	Hongkong
cruiser 2nd class		4500	10	5500	Captain Chatle	Hongkong
gun-vess. 2nd class		755	5	1765	Commander Bearcroft	Bangkok
cruiser 2nd class		3730	13	7290	Capt. Wilmet H. Fawkes	Yokohama
cruiser		2575	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Singapore
gunboat 1st class		1750	6	1200	Commander Laxton	Bangkok
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Bangkok
gunboat 1st class		755	6	1200	Hon. Lt.-Com. F. C. B. Addington	Kobe
cruiser 3rd class		1770	5	3095	Commander Burr	Shanghai
gunboat 1st class		715	5	1300	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Cotterworth	Hongkong
gunboat 1st class		695	6	1300	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	On a cruise
cruiser 2nd class		4050	12	6090	Captain Henderson	Bangkok
gun-vessel 2nd class		755	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Bangkok
g-b-t. 3rd class coast defence		363	3	340	—	Hongkong
receiving ship		5157	14	—	Commodore Boys	Hongkong
coast-defence ship, armoured		2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong

Torpedo Boats in Reserve Nos. 8, 20, 35, 36, 37 and 38, first class; and 3 second class boats.

* Flagship of Vice-Admiral the Hon. T. Fremantle, K.C.B., O.M.G.

† H. B. M. Ship's tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to H. M. Navy List.

Foreign Men-of-war on the China and Japan Station.

Name.	Flag and Rig.	Tons.	Gun.	H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
Admiral Korniloff	Russian cruiser	5000	—	—	Captain Elitchanissoff	Nagasaki
Atsuta	Portuguese troopship	—	—	—	Captain Vianno	Macao
Beccu	Russian gunboat	800	—	—	Captain Parangu	Nagasaki
Concord	U. S. corvette	1020	8	—	Captain Durand	Shanghai
Empire	French gunboat	470	4	43	Commander Journet	Kiokiang
Elmimore	U. S. cruiser	4000	—	—	Captain W. R. Bridgman	Hongkong
Engo	Portuguese gunboat	462	—	—	Captain J. J. Moreira	Macao
Guine	French gunboat	700	—	—	Capt. Partige du Fournet	Yokohama
Harvard	American cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain Gieseler	Shanghai
Hebe	Portuguese gunboat	705	—	—	Captain Gomes	Macao
Heila	French cruiser	2200	—	—	Captain Ecloux	Yokohama
Imperial	German gunboat	489	4	330	Captain Bandin	Yokohama
Constant	French gunboat	800	—	—	Capt. Bory	Yokohama
Elizabeth	Austrian cruiser	4800	—	—	Captain A. R. v. Becker	Singapore
Eregetz	Russian man-of-war	1200	—	—	Captain P. Filisoff	Yadivostok
Concater	U. S. cruiser	2120	—	—	Capt. McCormack	Yokohama
Con	French gunboat	460	—	—	Lieutenant Papai	Yokohama
Constatin	French gunboat	485	4	425	Captain Nény	Hongkong
Concord	U. S. corvette	1000	7	1170	Commander Gridley	Yokohama
Concey	Russian cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain V. Brandt	Hankow
Concey	U. S. ship	1370	6	1470	Lieut.-Com. Empey	Shanghai
Admiral Azova	Russian cruiser	6500	—	—	Captain Bauer	Amoy
Admiral	U. S. gunboat	894	—	—	Lt.-Com. J. H. Deighton	On a cruise
Admiral	French gunboat	540	—	43	Lieut. Commander Lapiel	Hongkong
Admiral	Spanish cruiser	3000	—	—	Captain Valera	Yokohama
Admiral	French cruiser	4500	—	—	Capt. Borel de Brétil	Yokohama
Admiral	Spanish cruiser	1130	5	1600	Captain Diaz	Shanghai
Admiral	Spanish gunboat	1000	—	—	Captain Fernandez	K'loos Dock
Admiral	French cruiser	2400	15	550	Captain Flourentin	Shanghai
Admiral	French gunboat	480	4	42	Commander Constola	Salgapore
Admiral	Russian cruiser	2560	—	—	Captain Zerkov	Nagasaki
Admiral	German gunboat	384	6	340		

British Columbia	Russian cruiser	5000	—	—	Captain Kitchanoff	Manila
British Columbia	Portuguese torpedo ship	—	—	—	Captain Viana	Manila
British Columbia	Russian gunboat	800	—	—	Captain Parunow	Nagasaki
British Columbia	U. S. corvette	1020	8	—	Captain Durand	Shanghai
British Columbia	French gunboat	470	4	43	Commander Journef	Kiocking
British Columbia	U. S. cruiser	4600	—	—	Capt. W. R. Bridgman	Hongkong
British Columbia	Portuguese gunboat	402	—	—	Captain J. Morin	Shanghai
British Columbia	U. S. cruiser	500	—	—	Capt. D'Arville du Pournet	Yokohama
British Columbia	American cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain Goodrich	Shanghai
British Columbia	Portuguese gunboat	708	—	—	Captain Gomes	Macao
British Columbia	French cruiser	2200	—	—	Captain Recloux	Yokohama
British Columbia	German gunboat	480	4	330	Captain Handien	Yokohama
British Columbia	French gunboat	800	—	—	Capt. H. B.	Yokohama
British Columbia	Austrian cruiser	1900	—	—	Captain A. R. v. Becker	Singapore
British Columbia	Russian man-of-war	4500	—	—	Captain Ph. Filisoff	Yadwostok
British Columbia	U. S. cruiser	2120	—	—	Capt. McCormack	Yokohama
British Columbia	French gunboat	460	—	—	Lieutenant Papay	Yokohama
British Columbia	U. S. corvette	485	4	43	Captain Noy	Kienchow
British Columbia	U. S. corvette	1900	7	1173	Commander Gridley	Yokohama
British Columbia	Russian cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain V. Brandt	Hankow
British Columbia	U. S. ship	1370	6	1470	Lieut.-Com. Empey	Shanghai
British Columbia	Russian cruiser	6500	—	—	Captain Bauer	Amoy
British Columbia	U. S. gunboat	894	—	—	Lt.-Com. J. H. Deighton	Amoy
British Columbia	French gunboat	640	—	43	Lieut. Commander Lapiel	Hongkong
British Columbia	Spanish cruiser	3000	—	—	Captain Valera	Hongkong
British Columbia	French cruiser	4500	—	—	Capt. Borel de Brétil	Yokohama
British Columbia	Spanish cruiser	1130	6	1600	Captain Diaz	Shanghai
British Columbia	Spanish gunboat	1000	—	—	Captain Fernandez	K'loos Dock
British Columbia	French cruiser	2400	10	550	Captain Bocanera	Shanghai
British Columbia	French gunboat	480	4	42	Commander Constalla	Saloon
British Columbia	Russian cruiser	2500	—	—	Captain Zerkow	Nagasaki
British Columbia	German gunboat	384	6	340	Captain Kratschmann	Swatow
British Columbia	Russian cruiser	600	—	—	Captain Domojitov	Shanghai

Printed and published by GEO. MURRAY BAIN, at the Office of the "LAT PAU," No. 5, Malacca Street, Singapore.

Name.	Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	I. H. P.	Captain.	Where at.
lacrity	despatch-vessel	1700	4	3180	Com. George A. Callaghan	Hongkong
roher	craiser 3rd class	1770	0	—	Comd. Scott Rogers	Hongkong
aphue	craiser 3rd class	1450	14	1440	Captain Ch. J. Norcock	Shanghai
goria	craiser	1140	—	—	Commander MacArthur	Yokohama
nik	surveying ship	740	—	—	Commander A. M. Field	Singapore
robrand	g-b. 3rd class coast defence	363	3	340	Lt.-Com. Ravenhill	Shanghai
ander	gunboat 2nd class	455	4	460	Lieut.-Com. L. G. Tufnell	Shanghai
ander	trials-gun battle ship	8490	10	10000	Captain J. M. McQuibbe	Hongkong
inot	craiser 2nd class	1300	10	5500	Capt. Gault	Hongkong
ercury	gun-vess. 2nd class	758	5	1350	Commander Beatofort	Bangkok
ercury	craiser 2nd class	1730	13	7200	Capt. Wilmot H. Fawkes	Yokohama
ercury	craiser	2576	20	7500	Captain Angus MacLeod	Singapore
ercury	gunboat 1st class	750	6	1200	Lieut.-Commander Laxton	Bangkok
ercury	gunboat 1st class	755	6	1200	Lieut.-Com. Phillips	Bangkok
ercury	gunboat 1st class	755	6	1200	Hon. Lt.-Com. F. C. B. Addington	Kobe
ercury	craiser 3rd class	1770	5	3500	Commander Burr	Shanghai
ercury	gunboat 1st class	715	6	1300	Lieut.-Com. Hugh Cotswold	Hongkong
ercury	gunboat 1st class	805	6	1500	Lieut.-Com. O. G. May	On a cruise
ercury	craiser 2nd class	4050	12	6000	Captain Handerson	Bangkok
ercury	gun-vess. 2nd class	755	5	1010	Commander Kirby	Hongkong
ercury	g-b. 3rd class coast defence	363	3	340	—	Hongkong
ercury	surveying ship	5157	14	—	Commander Boys	Hongkong
ercury	coast-defence ship, armoured	2750	4	1450	—	Hongkong

H. B. M. Ships' tonnage, displacements and effective horse powers are given according to H. M. Navy List.

Name.	Flag and Rig.	Tons.	Guns.	H.P.	Captain.	Where at.
Central Kornloff	Russian cruiser	5000	—	—	Captain Elitchanoff	Nagasaki
Central Africa	Portuguese troopship	—	—	—	Captain Vase	Manila
Central Scout	Russian gunboat	800	—	—	Captain Paraceno	Nagasaki
Central West	U. S. sloop	1020	8	—	Captain Durand	Shanghai
Central Amphib	French gunboat	470	4	43	Commander Journef	Kiukiang
Central Alabama	U. S. cruiser	4000	—	—	Captain W. R. Bridgman	Hongkong
Central Portugal	Portuguese gunboat	462	—	—	Captain J. J. Moreira	Manila
Central Tomate	French gunboat	500	—	—	Capt. Dange de Fournet	Yokohama
Central Concord	American cruiser	1760	—	—	Captain Cogswich	Shanghai
Central Peru	Portuguese gunboat	706	—	—	Captain Gomes	Macao
Central Africa	French cruiser	2200	—	—	Captain Recloux	Yokohama
Central Asia	German gunboat	483	4	330	Captain Bandini	Yokohama
Central Constant	French gunboat	800	—	—	Capt. Bory	Yokohama
Central Elizabeth	Austrian cruiser	4500	—	—	Captain V. R. v. Becker	Singapore
Central Peregrine	Russian man-of-war	1200	—	—	Captain Ph. Filinoff	Yadivostok
Central Lancaster	U. S. cruiser	2120	—	—	Capt. McCormack	Yokohama
Central Britain	French gunboat	460	—	—	Lieutenant Papiax	Yokohama
Central Japan	U. S. sloop	485	4	42½	Captain Nény	Bangkok
Central Andromeda	U. S. sloop	1800	7	1170	Commander Ordley	Yokohama
Central Andromeda	Russian cruiser	1700	—	—	Captain V. Brand	Hankow
Central Andromeda	U. S. sloop	1370	6	1470	Lieut.-Com. Emory	Shanghai
Central Andromeda	Russian cruiser	6500	—	—	Captain Bauer	Amoy
Central Andromeda	U. S. gunboat	884	—	—	Lt.-Com. J. H. Deighton	On a cruise
Central Andromeda	French gunboat	640	—	43½	Lieut.-Commander Laped	Haiphong
Central Andromeda	Spanish cruiser	3000	—	—	Capt. Valera	Shanghai
Central Andromeda	French cruiser	4600	—	—	Capt. Borol de Bédail	Yokohama
Central Andromeda	Spanish cruiser	1330	5	1600	Captain Diaz	Shanghai
Central Andromeda	Spanish gunboat	1000	—	—	Captain Fernandez	K'loos Dock
Central Andromeda	French cruiser	2400	15	550	Captain Thomeau	Shanghai
Central Andromeda	French gunboat	480	4	42	Commander Constella	Salgon
Central Andromeda	Russian cruiser	3560	—	—	Captain Zue	Nagasaki
Central Andromeda	German gunboat	384	6	340	Captain Kratschmann	Swatow
Central Andromeda	Russian cruiser	500	—	—	Captain Domoitrov	Shanghai